

EXHIBIT T



NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC

SERVING LEGAL PROFESSIONALS COAST TO COAST AND INTERNATIONALLY

In The Matter Of

La Union Del Pueblo Entero, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v

State Of Texas, et al.,

Defendants

CASE

5:21-cv-844

Date

4-28-2022

Witness

Brian Keith Ingram, J.D.

**Certified Copy
Transcript**

National Court Reporters Inc. · 888.800.9656 ·

NationalCourtReporters.com

NCRNetwork@nationalcourtreporters.com

Serving Legal Professionals From Coast To Coast and Internationally

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 1

1

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 3 SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

4 LA UNION DEL PUEBLO §
 5 ENTERO, ET AL., §
 6 Plaintiffs, § Civil Action No.
 7 VS. § 5:21-cv-844 (XR)
 8 § (Consolidated Cases)

9 STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL. §
 10 Defendants. §

11 ORAL DEPOSITION OF
 12 BRIAN KEITH INGRAM, J.D.
 13 CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE
 14 TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE OFFICE
 15 APRIL 28, 2022

16 *****

17 ORAL DEPOSITION OF BRIAN KEITH INGRAM, J.D.,
 18 CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE TEXAS SECRETARY OF
 19 STATE OFFICE produced as a witness at the instance of
 20 the Plaintiffs and Plaintiff-Intervenors, and duly
 21 sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause
 22 on the 28th day of April 2022, from 9:03 a.m. to
 23 3:18 p.m., before Caroline Chapman, CSR in and for the
 24 State of Texas, reported by Computerized Stenotype
 25 Machine, Computer-Assisted Transcription, held at the
 26 rice Daniel Sr State Office Building, 209 West 14th
 27 Street, Austin, Texas, and via web-based conference
 28 pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 147

147

1 MS. HUNKER: Objection. Asked and
 2 answered.

3 **A. No.**

4 Q. Are you aware of any investigation into illegal
 5 voting, election fraud, or other criminal activity in
 6 connection with voting with the use of an assister in
 7 Texas?

8 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

9 **A. Yes.**

10 Q. How many instances are you aware of?

11 **A. Well, most of the complaints that come to our**
 12 **office that we refer to the Attorney General have to do**
 13 **with the mail voting process and a lot of those are**
 14 **charges of illegal assistance, so I don't know precisely**
 15 **how many and I can't talk about particulars because they**
 16 **are over with the Attorney General and they are not**
 17 **public record under 31.006, but it would -- I would say**
 18 **at least 10 or 15 illegal assistance complaints have**
 19 **been referred over there, maybe only 10, maybe 11, I**
 20 **don't know. It is somewhere in that neighborhood. And**
 21 **then, of course, we have had a few complaints along the**
 22 **way in the last 10 years of persons who complain that**
 23 **the assistance that was given in-person was illegal.**

24 Q. And so you say at least or somewhere between 10
 25 and 15 that you have referred to the Attorney General's

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 148

148

1 Office -- or your office has referred to the AG's
 2 Office; is that correct?

3 **A. Right. In the last couple years. This is not
 4 just in one election.**

5 Q. And when say, "over the last couple of years,"
 6 can you give me a more precise time frame?

7 **A. Well, I don't know. I would have to go look at
 8 the complaint log. But I would say at least since 2020,
 9 election, general election in 2020 that we have had
 10 probably a good 10 or 15.**

11 Q. And so it is your testimony that, since
 12 approximately 2020 through present, your office is
 13 referred approximately between 10 and 15 complaints
 14 about unlawful assistance with vote by mail to the
 15 Attorney General's Office for further investigation; is
 16 that right?

17 **A. I agree that that's the number that I have got
 18 in my head. I would have to look at the complaint log
 19 and confirm it.**

20 Q. And so you use the term "complaint log," can
 21 you tell me what that is?

22 **A. It is a log of complaints that we get, election
 23 log complaints.**

24 Q. And is that a formal written document that your
 25 office keeps?

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 149

149

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Is that a document that, to your knowledge, has
3 been produced to the plaintiffs in discovery in this
4 case?5 A. I would be surprised if it had. It has
6 confidential information in it, but it might.7 Q. So if I were to use the term "complaint log"
8 would that have a specific meaning to the folks in your
9 office?

10 A. It would.

11 Q. And is there only one complaint log that is
12 kept by your office?

13 A. Should be.

14 Q. How is that document updated?

15 A. By my assistant when the complaints come in.

16 Q. And so walk me through that process if you
17 would, please.18 A. A complaint comes in, it is recorded in the
19 complaint log, it is scanned and emailed to me for
20 review.21 Q. And do you review every complaint that does
22 come in?

23 A. I do.

24 Q. What happens after you conduct that review?

25 A. Either a disposition letter will be put

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 150

150

1 together by my assistant and mailed to the complainant
 2 or it will be assigned to an attorney for either
 3 referral to the Attorney General or for a letter back to
 4 the complainant.

5 Q. Who makes the decision, the ultimate decision
 6 about whether it is issued a -- whether a disposition
 7 letter is issued or if it is referred it an attorney for
 8 further follow-up?

9 A. Me.

10 Q. Anybody else?

11 A. No.

12 Q. What type of information would appear on the
 13 complaint log for each complaint that is sent in to your
 14 office?

15 A. I am not sure. I haven't ever seen the
 16 document myself, so I don't know all of the boxes that
 17 it has, but it will have -- generally, it will have the
 18 date the complaint was received, the nat -- the broad
 19 general nature description of the complaint. The person
 20 bringing the complaint and I think usually the person
 21 complained of. It will also have --

22 Q. Any others?

23 A. -- a column for attorney assigned and then
 24 disposition.

25 Q. Thank you. And forgive me, please, for

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 151

151

1 speaking over you.

2 Any other information that you could think
3 of that might be captured on that document?4 **A. Like I said, I don't know. I haven't seen the
5 document myself. I think those are the categories.**6 Q. Having never seen the document, do you have any
7 information about whether it is in fact complete with
8 every complaint that has come in or not?

9 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

10 **A. I believe it to be complete at least for the
11 last several years. It has in the past been the
12 responsibility of the legal -- paralegal, legal
13 assistant to keep up with that document. Our legal
14 assistant recently left us and so it's -- my assistant
15 has been doing it. And I am pretty confident that the
16 legal assistants that we have had in the last four or
17 five years have done a good job of documenting every
18 complaint, so that's what I would say about that.**19 Q. And so sitting here today, you have reason to
20 believe that if a complaint is submitted to your office
21 about some unlawful voting activity, the -- that
22 complaint has been recorded on the complaint log and a
23 scan of it has been saved to your system; is that fair?

24 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form.

25 **A. I believe so.**

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 152

152

1 Q. Ms. Hunker, at this point, I do believe the
 2 witness testified he would be surprised if it were
 3 produced in discovery. I will make a formal request
 4 that we do obtain a copy of that and your office try to
 5 produce it to us. I recognize that there might be,
 6 based on the witness' testimony, some material that will
 7 require redaction. But we, obviously, take no position
 8 until we have seen it. But if you have to produce it in
 9 a redacted form of the privilege log, please do so.

10 MS. HUNKER: I will note your request and
 11 do inquiries into the matter. However, to the extent
 12 that it has confidential information, particularly, if
 13 that information cannot be redacted without comprising
 14 the document or can't be redacted at all, we would be
 15 alleging privilege.

16 MR. KANTERMAN: Noted and certainly happy
 17 to follow-up after the deposition. I certainly
 18 appreciate the courtesy of taking a look though. Thank
 19 you.

20 Q. (By Mr. Kanterman) Mr. Ingram, moving on to my
 21 next lines of questions if we could.

22 Did you or your office have any
 23 communications with the Texas Governor and/or the Office
 24 of the Texas Governor regarding any incidents of illegal
 25 voting?

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 154

154

1 for the other candidate by some margin. But because of
 2 the overwhelming number of mail ballots that went
 3 overwhelmingly for the one candidate, he ended up
 4 winning the race by a few votes, and that has been the
 5 subject of investigation by the Attorney General and it
 6 has been the subject of indictments by the Attorney
 7 General.

8 Q. And do you have any recollection sitting here
 9 today the particulars of the conversations you had with
 10 the Governor's office about that situation?

11 **A. Just what we are talking about here today that
 12 it happened and it was investigated.**

13 Q. You mentioned you had thousands of hours of
 14 communications with the Governor's office over your --
 15 the course of your time with the Secretary of State's
 16 Office; is that right?

17 **A. That's right.**

18 Q. Are those conversations generally in written
 19 form in some other form?

20 **A. No. We talk.**

21 Q. When you say "talk," are those in-person
 22 communications, telephone communications or something
 23 else?

24 **A. Both. Mostly on the phone but we do talk
 25 in-person.**

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 155

155

1 Q. Did you have any additional communications with
 2 the Texas Governor or his office about violations of
 3 Texas election laws besides those we have already
 4 discussed?

5 MS. HUNKER: Objection, form. Asked and
 6 answered.

7 **A. Yeah. Again, I -- I can't remember any**
 8 **specific conversations, but we talk a lot and we talk**
 9 **about violations of the law a lot. We talk about all**
 10 **kinds of things.**

11 Q. Have you had any communications with the Texas
 12 Attorney General or his office regarding incident of or
 13 investigation into illegal voting in the State of Texas?

14 MS. HUNKER: I am going to object to the
 15 extent this calls for investigative privilege and
 16 instruct my witness not to reveal any information
 17 concerning complaints that have been referred to the OAG
 18 but have yet to be resolved through a final matter.

19 **A. Yes. I talk to the Attorney General's**
 20 **investigative team on a regular basis.**

21 Q. And, sir, I am not asking you for specifics of
 22 any individual circumstances at the moment, generally,
 23 what are those conversations about?

24 MS. HUNKER: Same objection with the same
 25 advice that my witness not communicate anything specific

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 156

156

1 with respect to a complaint that has been referred to
 2 the Attorney General but has yet to be resolved through
 3 every conviction or dismissal.

4 **A. Yeah. We talk about a lot of stuff. I mean,**
 5 **we talk about complaints that we have sent over. We**
 6 **talk about the language of the statute and what we think**
 7 **it means versus what they think it means. We talk about**
 8 **bills that get filed in the legislative process, so I**
 9 **mean, we talk to them about a variety of things.**

10 Q. But two of those items that you just mentioned
 11 I wanted to discuss a little bit further. If I heard
 12 you correctly, first, that you discussed with the
 13 Attorney General's Office your interpretation and their
 14 interpretation of certain provisions of the law; is that
 15 right?

16 **A. That's right.**

17 Q. Have you discussed with the Attorney General's
 18 Office your interpretation and their interpretation of
 19 provisions of SB 1.

20 MS. HUNKER: Objection to the extent it
 21 calls for investigative privilege as well as
 22 attorney-client privilege, specifically where the
 23 Secretary of State's Office is requesting advice from
 24 the Attorney General, I would advise my client to
 25 refrain from any of those type of communications.

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 157

1571 **A. No.**

2 Q. Sir, when you are discussing -- when you are
3 having these conversations with the Attorney General's
4 Office that you mentioned, those in which they are
5 seeking your input on the interpretation of statutory
6 language and you are discussing their interpretation,
7 are you doing so in furtherance of seeking their legal
8 advice?

9 **A. No. The one instance I can think of, it was**
10 **them explaining to me their interpretation of the Penal**
11 **Code 36.02 so that I would quit sending them complaints**
12 **that they didn't think warranted investigation.**

13 Q. And in your view was that conversation in
14 furtherance of seeking or receiving legal advice from
15 them?

16 **A. No.**

17 Q. Let's talk about -- let's talk about the scope
18 of that conversation then. And can you repeat for me,
19 please, the code section you just referred to, 38?

20 **A. 36.02 of the Penal Code.**

21 Q. And was it just a single conversation that you
22 can recall at the Attorney General's Office in which you
23 discuss their interpretation of Section 36.02 of the
24 Penal Code?

25 **A. That's right.**

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 158

158

1 Q. And when was that conversation?

2 **A. Several years ago.**

3 Q. Do you have a more specific approximation of a
4 date?

5 **A. No.**

6 Q. Would it be before or after 2020?

7 **A. It would be before '20. Several years ago, it**
8 **was before '20.**

9 Q. Do you think it was before 2018?

10 **A. Don't know.**

11 Q. Was this a conversation you had in-person, over
12 the telephone, by email or some other -- through some
13 other media?

14 **A. It was a phone call.**

15 Q. And approximately how long did that phone call
16 last?

17 **A. Five, 10 minutes.**

18 Q. And who attended that phone call, if you
19 recall?

20 **A. Jonathan White and myself.**

21 THE REPORTER: And excuse me, we had
22 someone come in the room.

23 Q. Anybody else?

24 THE REPORTER: Excuse me just a moment,
25 Mr. Kanterman. May I get your name?

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 160

160

1 Q. And tell me what it was, please.

2 **A. So 36.02 is the anti-bribery statute, and it**
 3 **says that -- that the crime consists of giving anything**
 4 **of value to, in part, there is a lot of categories, but**
 5 **one of the categories is a voter for that -- that you**
 6 **anticipate influencing the voter's discretion in any**
 7 **way, right. So to us at the Secretary of State's**
 8 **Office, that means the decision whether to vote or not**
 9 **is part of the discretion of a voter. And if somebody**
 10 **gives, you know, like Free Blue Jean Day on Friday, if**
 11 **we all have 100 percent turn out and vote, that to us**
 12 **would be a violation of 36.02 because you're influencing**
 13 **the discretion of a voter to turn out to actually vote**
 14 **or not.**

15 **Jonathan says that the way that they -- or**
 16 **said that the way that they interpret that statute is,**
 17 **it has to be influencing the voter's discretion in the**
 18 **booth in a particular way, so to vote for or against a**
 19 **measure, for or against a candidate, and that the mere**
 20 **enticement of voting by an offer of a free beer with**
 21 **your out voted sticker, or whatever, is not sufficient**
 22 **to constitute the crime; that there has been to be an**
 23 **actual influencing of the voter's vote itself not their**
 24 **decision to vote or not. I still think he is --**

25 Q. Beyond --

5:21-cv-844 (XR)

4/28/2022

Enterov Texas

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 208

208

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 3 SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

4 LA UNION DEL PUEBLO §
 5 ENTERO, ET AL., §
 6 Plaintiffs, § Civil Action No.
 7 VS. § 5:21-cv-844 (XR)
 8 STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL. §
 9 Defendants. § (Consolidated Cases)

10 *****

11 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

12 ORAL DEPOSITION OF

13 BRIAN KEITH INGRAM, J.D.

14 APRIL 28, 2022

15 *****

16 I, CAROLINE CHAPMAN, Certified Shorthand
 17 Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify
 18 to the following:

19 That the witness, BRIAN KEITH INGRAM, J.D.
 20 was duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of
 21 the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony
 22 given by the witness;

23 That the deposition transcript was
 24 submitted on May 2, 2022, to the witness or to the
 25 attorney for the witness for examination, signature, and
 26 return to me within 20 days;

27 That the amount of time used by each party
 28 at the deposition is as follows:

5:21-cv-844 (XR)**4/28/2022****Enterov Texas**

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 209

209

1 Mr. Freeman - Two hours and fifty-five
2 minutes.

3 Mr. Kanterman - One hour and twenty-two
4 minutes.

5 That pursuant to information given to the
6 deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken,
7 the appearance page includes all parties of record.

8 I further certify that I am neither
9 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
10 parties or attorneys in the action in which this
11 proceeding was taken, and further that I am not
12 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of
13 the action.

14 Certified to by me on May 2, 2022.

15
16 CAROLINE CHAPMAN, Texas CSR 467
17 Expiration Date: 03/31/2023
18 Firm Registration No. 223
19 WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTERS
20 3000 Weslayan, Suite 235
21 Houston, Texas 77027
22 (713) 572-2000
23
24
25

**5:21-cv-844 (XP)
4/28/2022**



NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC.

ENTERO v. TEXAS

NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC. 888.800.9656

Brian Keith Ingram JD 210

210

Transcript Errata Sheet For

Date:

Case Number:

Case Name:

Deponent:

Page Line Now Reads

Should Read

Remove Therefore

Date: _____

Signature of the Deponent:

**Please return via email within 30days to:
NCRNETWORK@NationalCourtReporters.com**

Enter v Texas 5:21-cv-844 (XR)
National Court Reporters
4/28/2022

Enterov Texas
Reporters Inc. 888.800.9656 National
National Court Reporters Inc. 888.800.9656
ncrnetwork@nationalcourtreporters.com

210 Brian Keith Ingram JP

Page: 210